

September 19, 2013

Ms. Shelly Lam On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2525 North Shadeland Avenue Indianapolis, Indiana 46219

Subject: Work Plan, Health and Safety Plan & Quality Assurance Project Plan

Technical Assistance Review Comments

Revision 0 Kokomo Dump

Kokomo, Howard County, Indiana EPA Contract No. EP-S5-10-10

Technical Direction Document (TDD) No. TO-01-13-08-1028

Dear Ms. Lam:

The Oneida Total Integrated Enterprises (OTIE) Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START) is submitting one copy of the Technical Assistance and Review Comments for the Kokomo Dump Work Plan including the Health and Safety Plan and Quality Assurance Project Plan prepared by SESCO Group.

Please contact me at (678) 255-7764, or Raghu Nagam at (312) 220-7005, if you have any questions regarding these technical review comments.

Sincerely,

Stacey DeLaReintrie START Project Manager Enclosure

cc: Raghu Nagam, START Program Manager (w/o enclosure)

START File

Work Plan

September 2013

TDD: TO-01-13-08-1028

Technical Assistance and Review Comments

1.0 Introduction

This document specifies the results of the Oneida Total Integrated Enterprises (OTIE) technical

assistance and review comments of the following plans:

Site Name: Kokomo Dump

Documents: Work Plan, Draft (dated September 4, 2013)

Health and Safety Plan, Draft (dated September 4, 2013)

Quality Assurance Project Plan (dated February 5, 2013)

Location: Kokomo, Howard County, Indiana

START Reviewers: Stacey DeLaReintrie/Raghu Nagam

Date of Review: September 2013

The City of Kokomo, the responsible party (RP) in the Administrative Settlement Agreement and Order

on Consent for Removal Action (AOC) Docket No. V-W-13-C-018 intends to use this plan as a Work

Plan including the Health and Safety Plan and the Quality Assurance Project Plan for the former Kokomo

Dump Site. The document was prepared by the RP's consultant SESCO Group located in Indianapolis,

Indiana. The site is briefly described in Section 2. Section 3 provides general and specific comments

regarding the review of the Work Plan; Section 4 the Health and Safety Plan; and Section 5 the Quality

Assurance Project Plan.

2.0 Site Description

The Kokomo Dump Site was a municipal landfill located at 1130 S. Dixon Rd., Kokomo, Indiana. The Site

occupies approximately 4.54 acres and is owned by the City of Kokomo. The geographical coordinates

for the building are 40.473513 degrees north latitude and 86.164533 degrees west longitude. The area

1

Work Plan

September 2013

TDD: TO-01-13-08-1028

surrounding the Site is a mix of residential and industrial properties. The Site is surrounded by Dixon Road

to the west, commercial properties on the north, residential properties to the south, and a railroad on the

east. The Wildcat Creek is approximately 500 feet from the Site on the north side and flows west towards

Wabash River near Lafayette, IN.

3.0 Work Plan Comments

General Comments

The Work Plan, submitted to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) by SESCO, was reviewed

for the following: completeness, consistency, the correct application of the AOC, logic, and site

characteristics.

OTIE Comments

1. The Work Plan should address the qualifications of the removal contractor proposed

for soil and drum removal - specifically indicate if they are licensed environmental

remediation contractors and if their crew is trained in and has all appropriate

HAZWOPER and refresher trainings as required under OSHA regulations.

2. The Work Plan should address contingencies and preparedness to address situations

such as spills occurring from liquids or broken drums, etc. that may arise while

removing as well as while transporting drums to the staging area

Specific Comments

Section 1.2, page 2, first paragraph – SESCO states that "It is presumed that a portion of the property

to the south of the Site was part of the dump site."

OTIE Comment – Will the property to the south of the Site be included in the investigation?

Section 3.3 - "In compliance with the request of the U.S. EPA, SESCO proposes to sample surface soil

2

This document was prepared by OTIE, expressly for EPA. It shall not be disclosed, in whole or in part, without the express written permission of EPA

Work Plan

September 2013

TDD: TO-01-13-08-1028

....;

OTIE Comment – Surface soil sample depths need to be specified. Also, it is preferred to collect

surface samples after removing the top soil?

Section 4.0 – First paragraph, second sentence states "The two (2) drums will be removed"

OTIE Comment - Just like the proposed analysis of the soil beneath the drums, these drums

should also be sampled to identify its characteristics for proper disposal. When over packed, these

drums should be labeled properly.

Section 4.0 – Third paragraph, first sentence states "As a summary there would be a total of six

(6) 55-gallon drums...."

OTIE Comment - Should this be 4 identified drums? So far, only 2 field observed drums and 2

IDW drums were mentioned.

Section 4.0 – Third paragraph, second sentence states "SESCO will assume the drum contents will be

considered hazardous waste"

OTIE Comment - These investigation derived waste (IDW) drums were sampled to identify its'

characteristics for proper disposal. The IDW results are in the site assessment report and indicate

them to be non-hazardous. These results should be evaluated against appropriate regulations and

disposal requirements to properly classify them and dispose them appropriately, rather than

assuming them to be hazardous.

Section 4.0 – Last paragraph, first sentence states "Drum and soil removal is expected to take one (1)

field day to complete"

OTIE Comment – please address utility clearance requirements and the time in this paragraph.

3

Work Plan

September 2013

TDD: TO-01-13-08-1028

4.0 Health and Safety Plan (HASP) Comments

General Comments

The HASP submitted to EPA by SESCO, was reviewed for the following: completeness, consistency,

logic, and site characteristics.

OTIE Comments

1. The HASP includes several sections (5.5)/and or references to test pit excavations and

depths of excavations up to 15 feet below ground surface. The current work plan scope

does not include these activities and so these unrelated sections/references should be

deleted from this HASP. If future work activities related to these tasks occur, an amendment

to this HASP should be made to address these activities.

2. The HASP does not discuss excavation of soil underneath the drums, their storing and

sampling activities. Please include this discussion.

3. The HASP should discuss IDW sampling

Specific Comments

Section 1.2.3 SESCO Site Health and Safety Officer (SSO) - "Some of the SSO's specific

responsibilities include."

OTIE Comment – one of the responsibilities to be included here should be in relation to checking

and assuring that all site personnel have appropriate HAZWOPER and related certifications, are

field eligible and that their certifications are always maintained on-site.

Section 6.1.3 Draeger Tubes – "As discussed if the PID/FID readings exceed an action level of 5

ppm, above background in the breathing zone (sustained 5 minute reading) then Draeger tubes will be used

to evaluate the concentration of specific VOCs"

OTIE Comment - please specify chemicals that will be monitored with the Draeger tubes, their

4

This document was prepared by OTIE, expressly for EPA. It shall not be disclosed, in whole or in part, without the express written permission of EPA

Technical Assistance and Review Comments

Kokomo Dump Work Plan

September 2013

TDD: TO-01-13-08-1028

detection levels and related action levels for personal protection. Also, discuss the rationale for

selecting these chemicals for monitoring by Draeger tubes.

Section 7.3.4 Buried Drum Removal and Sampling & Test Pit Excavation - "The initial level of

protection to be worn... is Modified Level C..."

OTIE Comment - Since these are drums with unknown solid materials, it has to be sampled at a

minimum in Level C PPE. All other activities may be performed in Modified Level C PPE if

conditions as specified in the HASP are met.

Section 7.3.4 – "Should any of the action levels be exceeded, the work will stop and the level of

protection will be upgraded...."

OTIE Comment – At what action level will the level of protection be upgraded?

5.0 Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) Comments

General Comments

The QAPP submitted to EPA by SESCO, was reviewed for the following: completeness, consistency, the

correct application of the AOC, logic, and site characteristics.

OTIE Comment – The QAPP should include a table summarizing the number of samples, number of

QA/QC samples, and the OSWER method of analysis that is being proposed for this sampling event.

Specific Comments

Section 3.3, Table 1, page 3 – SESCO indicates that one duplicate sample will be collected per 20

samples.

OTIE Comment – OTIE recommends collection of one duplicate sample per every 10 field samples.

5

This document was prepared by OTIE, expressly for EPA. It shall not be disclosed, in whole or in part, without the express written permission of EPA

Technical Assistance and Review Comments

Kokomo Dump Work Plan

September 2013

TDD: TO-01-13-08-1028

Section 6.1, page 9 - ``Surface soil samples will be collected using a trowel or hand auger, depending on

soil characteristics..."

OTIE Comment – At what depths will the surface soil samples be collected?

Section 7.1.4, page 12 – "Boring logs will be maintained which will include..."

OTIE Comment - There is no mention of collecting soil boring samples in the Work Plan. Will

subsurface soil borings be collected? If not, please delete these references, and references to

groundwater sampling.

6.0 Conclusion

OTIE suggests that the Removal Action Work Plan, the HASP and the QAPP be revised according

to the comments and suggestions of this document.

6